

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| 09/986,244 | 11/08/2001 | Hiroyuki Ohsawa | 35.G2940 | 35.G2940 5030 | | |
| 5514 | 7590 05/31/2006 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO | | | BLACKWEL | BLACKWELL, JAMES H | | |
| 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| , | | | 2176 | | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/31/2006 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--------------------|------------------|---|
| 09/986,244 | OHSAWA, HIROYUKI | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | _ |
| James H. Blackwell | 2176 | |

| | James H. Blackwell | 2176 | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>15 May 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI | ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | rce, which FR 41.31; or (3) | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | 06.07(f). | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | ns of the date of se appeal. Since | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | nsideration and/or search (see NO | , will <u>not</u> be entered b TE below); | ecause | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in belappeal; and/or | | ducing or simplifying | the issues for | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | ected claims. | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | : | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | will not be entered, or b) | ill be entered and an o | explanation of | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | nt before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidat | lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i | ot be entered s necessary and | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome all rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | n of the status of the claims after e | entry is below or attac | hed. | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. | ut does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I | No(s) | | | | |
| | . | 2.00. 4R | | | | |
| | L | Dilloni L. B. WILLIAM BASH | anė | | | |
| | | PRIMARY EXAM | JRE INER | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

5/25/2006

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the prior art of Bloomfield does not teach the limitation of outputung a control command to control a direction of the image pickup device (i.e., scanner) and to then receive an image picked up by the device that is controlled by the control command. The Examiner respectfully disagrees and argues that the handheld imaging device initially takes an image of the URL. This scanning of the URL image then invokes recognition software to identify from the image a URL, interpreted as the command captured by the image pickup device to command an action to lookup and display a web page..

WILLIAM BASHORE
PRIMARY EXAMINER
5/95/206